

SHAHEED BHAGAT SINGH COLLEGE OF  
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
FEROZEPUR

AGENDA FOR THE SECOND MEETING OF  
EQUIPMENT AND STORES PURCHASE  
COMMITTEE

VENUE  
DATE  
TIME

Room No. 24, Second Floor  
PUNJAB CIVIL SECTT.

MARCH 1, 1996

2.30 PM

I N D E X

ITEM NO.	CONTENTS	PAGE
2.1	The purchase of Computer Systems, Networking, Structured Cabling (AT&T), Software and related items.	✓
\ Annexure - I	N.I.T	✓
\ Annexure - II	Specifications of the Equipment	✓
Annexure - III	Firms <del>being</del> Not Considered	✓
Annexure - IV	Comparative Statement for the Firms <del>being</del> Considered	✓ ✓
Annexure - V	Comparative Statement after <sup>clarifications</sup> <del>the</del> Negotiations. <del>and</del> <del>for</del> <del>the</del> Additional Information	

ITEM NO. 2.1 The purchase of Computer Systems, Networking, Structured Cabling (AT&T) , Software and related items.

Notice inviting tenders for the purchase of Computer Systems , Networking , Structured Cabling (AT&T) , Software and related items for Shaheed Bhagat Singh College of Engineering and Technology, Ferozepur, were released to the following daily newspapers for publication on 10-11-95.

1. The Tribune
2. The Hindu (Delhi Edition)
3. Hindustan Times (Delhi Edition)

A copy of NIT is placed at Annexure-I

A copy of detailed specifications of the Equipment is placed at Annexure-II

In response to NIT., following Seventeen (17) firms submitted their offers:

1. Unicorp Overseas Ltd., New Delhi.
2. Digital Equipment India Ltd., Bombay.
3. Independent Engineers, Chandigarh.
4. Science and Surgical House, Ambala.
5. Techno Traders, Chandigarh.
6. D.C.M Data Systems, New Delhi.
7. Spectrum Systems, Chandigarh.
8. Pertech Computers Ltd, Chandigarh.
9. Webel Informatics Ltd., Chandigarh.
10. Tulip Software (P) Ltd, New Delhi.

11. Technocrats International, Ambala.
12. Crescent computers Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi
13. Computers Maintenance Corporation Ltd., Chandigarh.
14. Zenith Computers Ltd., New Delhi.
15. Super Electronics Industries , Mohali.
16. Teg Computers, Chandigarh.
17. Infocom Digital Systems Ltd., New Delhi

Out of 17 firms, two firms at Sl. No. 9 and 12 did not enclose EMD, accordingly their tenders were rejected. Nine firms at Sl. No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,10,14 and 15 are not considered for further scrutiny for reasons given against each ~~are~~<sup>and</sup> enclosed in Annexure -III. ~~The~~ offers of remaining ~~six~~ firms at Sl.No. 7,8,11,13,16 and 17 are considered and their comparative statement is enclosed at Annexure - IV.

The first lowest and second lowest firms at S.No. 17 (INFOCOM) and S.No.8(PCL) were called for clarifications. On the basis of clarifications given and terms offered , their cases were processed<sup>e</sup> and detail information is given at Annexure - V